Submission ID: 30077

I live under the flight path of Gatwick Airport and this application for a new runway is not policy ISH1 so does not comply with "Beyond Horizons - Making best use of existing runways"

Air pollution / carbon emissions, not only from aircraft but also ancillary services will increase hugely with a second runway. Scope 3 emissions must be included in the carbon cap. ISH9

Aircraft noise is a huge issue. There must be a nighttime ban on flights. With a single runway, people such as me get a brief rest from the noise when aircraft are landing or taking off depending on the direction. If a second runway is built, we I'll have no gaps in aircraft noise.

Both EasyJet and British Airways have said that the airspace is not big enough.

There should be full and meaningful compensation to homeowners underneath the flight paths. This should go beyond simply providing for sound insulation.

Traffic into Gatwick already makes the whole area suffer from traffic congestion. The impact of increased passenger numbers have not been considered when it comes to its impact to road congestion.

The DCO must have legally binding air quality agreements for Gatwick. Will monitor air quality is simply unacceptable. Our government is lacking a clear transport strategy and allowing individual airports to drive policy fuelled by their commercial interests for growth. As progressive governments are doing around the world, the solution is to create an airport in the Thames Estuary and phase out Heathrow and Gatwick. It seems, as a nation, we have shifted more towards the US stance of spending our efforts on justifying doing the wrong thing rather than doing g the right thing.